

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,970	01/10/2006	Matthias Hauser	J&J2127USPCT	4949
27777 PHILIP S. JOI	7590 04/23/200 FNSON	8	EXAM	IINER
JOHNSON &	JOHNSON		FRAZIER, BARBARA S	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,970 HAUSER ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BARBARA FRAZIER 1611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any roply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>11 January 2005</u> .
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for form

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

4) Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	24 and 26 is/are pe	nding in the application.
4a) Of the a	bove claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	

6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) 1-24.26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application	Papers
-------------	--------

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on ______is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Disposition of Claims

12) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∏ All	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Diselocates Statement(e) (PTO/SEACE) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Netter of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
C. Dathet and Vandaman Differen		_

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-24, drawn to a product.

Group II, claim(s) 26, drawn to a method of cleansing skin and/or hair.

- Group 11, claim(3) 20, drawn to a method of cleansing skin and/or hair.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common technical feature in all groups is a product comprising an applicator whereto a wax phase has been applied, said wax phase comprising a wax component selected from the group consisting of dialkyl(ene) ethers, dialkyl(ene) carbonates, dicarboxylic acids, hydroxyl fatty alcohols and mixtures thereof. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. Kasai et al., US 2002/0022812, discloses a glove or pad to which has been adhered an anti-frictional agent such as distearyl ether (see paragraphs 104, 74, and 70).
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The products of claim 1, wherein there is a plurality of elements disclosed for the claimed product. Accordingly, Applicants are required to elect a single disclosed combination of elements for the product, selected from the list below:

- A) the wax component in the wax phase (claims 1-24 and 26);
- B) the mono-, di-, or triglycerides in the wax phase (4-8);
- C) the fatty alcohols in the wax phase (claim 9-11);
- D) the fatty acids in the wax phase (claims 12-14);
- E) the at least one active ingredient (claims 15-18);
- F) the at least one oil (claims 15 and 16);
- G) the at least one emulsifier (claims 15 and 16);
- H) the further waxy components (claims 15 and 16); and
- I) the additional components as described in claims 19 and 20.

For element A), Applicants are further required to elect a single exact choice for the wax component in the wax phase; representative examples are listed in claim 1.

If element E) is present, Applicants are further required to elect a single exact choice for the active substance; representative examples are listed in claim 18.

If element I) is present, Applicants are further required to elect a single exact choice for the additional component; representative examples are listed in claims 19 and 20.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The

reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

- 4. The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.
- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common technical feature in all groups is a product comprising an applicator whereto a wax phase has been applied, said wax phase comprising a wax component selected from the group consisting of dialkyl(ene) ethers, dialkyl(ene) carbonates, dicarboxylic acids, hydroxyl fatty alcohols and mixtures thereof. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. Kasai et al., US 2002/0022812, discloses a glove or pad to which has been adhered an anti-frictional agent such as distearyl ether (see paragraphs 104, 74, and 70).

The expression "special technical feature" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104.

Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA FRAZIER whose telephone number is (571)270-3496. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9am-4pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571)272-8373. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BSF

/Sharmila Gollamudi Landau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611